1. Why was this included in the news? (Editor pov, biases)
2. Is the person who decided to run this paper correct or justified?
3. If I wrote this article, how would I have changed it?
4. Two examples that are the author’s biases.

**2 children found living in abandoned bus in Texas**

1. I think that this article was included in the new for the sole purpose of being informative. I am sure that there are some biases involved in the article, but because of its length and simplicity, I don’t think there was any true alterior motives involved in the publishing or writing process of this article.
2. The person who decided to run this article in the news is justified because I think that it is an important thing for others to hear and become aware of. Their hear was in the right place when they were writing and in publishing this article thus I believe that it is properly justified by the fact that they were publishing it to share news with others.
3. If I were to have written this article, I would have changed very few things. If I were to have written this article, I would have probably kept all the same points, but I would probably add a personal note or belief about how many other children I believe were in a similar situation such as the two children that were in the story. I would want be the source of public awareness for this particular fault that we have in our country.
4. This author for the most part was unbiased, but still, they had biases within the story that can be discussed. First, the author did not include the detail of how the children were living and finding the necessary things for survival, such as food. Because of the absence of this key element of this story, this is one of the author’s biases. It can’t be determined as to why the author did not include this detail, but it is still a bias none the less. Secondly, the author stated the great-aunt of the children found would every night and check on the children. I am trying to figure out why there is so little detail in that area. If the great-aunt is coming to check on the children every night, why hasn’t she taken them in or reported the incident to the government? That is another bias that the author has left unclear in their article.

**Kony 2012 campaign hits the northern beaches**

1. This article is an attempt to aware public awareness of Joseph Kory who has been doing horrible things in the African area. As well, supporting a cause like this in the United States will bring you new readers and a bigger audience to target and this is what I believe the editor’s point of view with this article would be. I don’t think that the author was so worried about letting people become aware of the incident or report that it has flooded the social media; I think that it is just a gimmick to get more readers by supporting a cause that many people are right now.
2. The person who is running this story is justified because they are making the public aware about something that needs to be changed in our world society. But only because of that fact. If they truly are publishing this article solely to get new readers, then I disagree with them being justified about this.
3. If I had written this article, I think that I would have included some of the public responses that have appeared all over Facebook and Twitter. On these social networking services, many people have negative responses saying that we do not need to do anything about this situation. They say that this is how it has always been and that we should do nothing. I would include this to make a stronger case for my position in the matter by using that emotional response of hearing others stances in the matter. It would make it more bias, but it would invoke an emotional driven cause.
4. Two biases that I see in this article are 1) the idea that the author did not include some of the events that have been occurring, and 2) they too are bias in the matter of “making Kony famous.” The first one is a bias because they make it appear as if this is one of the first events that are going to take place to make people aware of what is going on, but this is false and needs to be corrected. Secondly, they are bias in this matter in general because they are not including the background that has been occurring in Africa, nor do they ever say accurately what is occurring in Africa.

**Teen charged in connection with Orem bank robberies**

1. This was included in the news to make the local public aware of some of the things that are happening in the community around us as of right now. Also, the editor’s main purpose in publishing this article is to give some comical relief! The details of the robbery are quite hilarious in this boy’s attempt of robbing the two banks. But still, there are biases that can change the meaning of the whole story.
2. The author of this article is justified in publishing this article because the main reason of publishing this article was to get the public aware of what has been happening in the community. But they also did not give any background information of the boy and this is one of the major biases of this story.
3. If I had written this article, I would have attempted to give some reasons of why the boy was robbing the bank. If this was included, it would change the whole meaning of the article and those details are important. As well, I would have also included some more detail such as when the robbery occurred so people would be able to better relate to the story and be able to see how they might have interacted with the whole situation. Such as a person who was at the bank at the time of this occurring.
4. The first bias in this article is the idea that the author does not attempt to give any reasons or explanations as to why the boy was robbing the banks. If we had this information, it would have changed the whole meaning of the story. Secondly, there is a natural bias against the boy who decided to rob the bank and the author of this article does not hide this bias in their writing. The satirical tone of the passage if a result of this bias.

**Miss Seattle sorry for using Twitter to bash Seattle**

1. This article was published to mock a celebrity who made one mistake. This story is heavily biased and I do not appreciate the amount of biases that are in the story. In general though, this story was published as part of a gossip column and was meant to attract more readers to its source.
2. The person who decided to run this story is not justified. The girl made one mistake and because of it she is being mocked and is constantly reminded of her mistake. In the story it mentions that Miss Seattle is going from media group to media group and asking if she can publicly apologize, this news outlet that published this story is just like the rest of them, but they mock her attempt of trying to fix what she did wrong which is the worst part about this story as a whole.
3. If I were to have written this story, it would have been a lot different than how it is now. I genuinely believe that people make mistakes and that most will try to fix the wrong they’ve done, such as Miss Seattle. I think that I would have published her apologetic story to my news outlet in hopes that she would be able to keep her public image which is so hard to maintain.
4. In the first sentence of this story, it is clear that the author is bias. The author opened by saying that, “Miss Seattle may have contributed to the stereotype that beauty queens are all about their looks and not their brains.” That is the statement that makes it clear that this author is biased. As well, the author stated that word for word so it is quite clear that the author is biased and is willing to admit to it. Secondly, the author also attacks the attempts of the girl to perform reparations. That is sick and wrong. The girl should be able to fix her wrongs with the judgments and accusations of others.